#### Military Magnet Academy

2950 Carner Avenue North Charleston, SC 29405

Grades 6-11 High School

Enrollment 572 Students

**Principal** Mr. Anderson Townsend 843-745-7102

Superintendent Dr. Maria L. Goodloe-Johnson 843-937-6319

**Board Chair** Ms. Nancy Cook 873-760-2635

### THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# ANNUAL SCHOOL

## REPORT CARD

#### ABSOLUTE RATING

N/AV

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 7 3 15 1

#### IMPROVEMENT RATING

N/AV

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 7 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2004	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2005	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2006	N/AV	N/AV	No					

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		'	gh Schools w			
				Students Like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	71.4	N/A	N/A	61.1		
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	N/A	26.8	N/A	N/A	17.7		
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	1.8	N/A	N/A	24.4		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	83.3%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	3.0
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	3.2
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	33.1

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	N/A	150
Number of Diplomas	N/A	95
Rate	N/A	66.0%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	88.9	67.3
English 1	58.8	50.1
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	52.8	34.8
Physical Science	22.6	22.8
All Subjects	52.6	43.9

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Pas by Sprii	•	Eligibility Schola	for LIFE arship	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

ПС	ы	)EB	ΕΔD	MAAN	CF R	v Cn	ALID
1 574	112	'EK	FOR	MAN	CE B	YUSK	OHP

HSAP PERFURMANCE BY GRO	UF								
	Enrollment 1st	gring % Tested	/ %		/ %	/	1 " 4	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Met
	glish/Lang						.3%		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender	NICA	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A		
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A		
African American	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A		
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status	14/71	14// (	14// (	14/7	14// (	14// (	14/71		
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

N	lathemation	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Student attendance in this school \*or greater than last year

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School		nge from st Year	Hiç Scho with Sto Like (	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 572)						
Retention rate Attendance rate	5.6% 95.3%	N/A N/A			10.2% 94.8%	7.0% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	6.1%	N/A			3.7%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	5.2%	N/A			15.9%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade	5.8%				14.3%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	5.9%				2.2%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	72.6% N/A				6.1% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	N/A				3.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	0.8%	N/A			3.8%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A			3.5%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	124	N/A			290	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A			18.9%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	79.7%	N/A			73.3%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem		N/A			98.9%	99.1%
Teachers (n= 37)	35.1%	N/A			49.2%	55.5%
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	IN/A			49.2% N/AV	00.0% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	12.3%	N/A			13.4%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	13.8%	N/A			18.3%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	75.4%	N/A			80.3%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	95.0%	N/R			95.1%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$39,335				40,954	\$42,943
Prof. development days/teacher	11.4 days	N/R		11.	7 days	11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	5.0	N/R			3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects		N/R			.6 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.5% \$7,574	N/R			87.9% \$8,449	89.3% \$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher	. ,	N/A			51.6%	55.3%
salaries*						
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	65.5%				58.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent				Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	54.7%				88.3%	92.8% Yes
SACS accreditation Character development	Good	N/R N/R			Yes Good	Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	O000	IN/IX	Our	District	0000	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	y qualified teach	ers		0.7%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high			1	0.5%		10.2%
			State Object	tive	Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%			No

**Abbreviations for Missing Data** 

94.0%\*

Yes

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The Military Magnet Academy is a caring school where all cadets are challenged to learn every day. The Military Magnet Academy prepares students to become competent, disciplined, and responsible citizens by providing the necessary learning environment. The Military Magnet Academy is committed to student academics, extracurricular activities, and parent and community involvement. The academic program develops the whole person by providing a foundation for success in today's world of high technology.

At the Military Magnet Academy, a school-wide remediation program is implemented to target all students. Students with the highest needs also receive computer-assisted tutoring using Plato software. An after-school homework center and tutorial program are made available to all cadets for two hours after school on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and four hours on Saturday mornings, and one hour before school daily.

A Super-Saturday program developed for all cadets and parents enhances parental involvement. A PACT night for parents is offered once quarterly to share PACT study tips and test-taking techniques. All cadets are required to participate in the Accelerated Reader and Independent Reading programs. Awards are provided to students who received points for completing reading assignments. Teachers use cooperative learning with heterogeneous grouping in the classrooms to develop teamwork and enhance student achievement. The military tactical officers conduct instructional training to reinforce academic and basic military skills taught in the classrooms. Quarterly benchmark tests are given to assess student progress.

An attempt to improve the delivery of academic instruction is accomplished by providing teachers with training on cooperative learning, curriculum alignment, and standards implementation. Quality initiatives for teacher training are supported as teachers are encouraged to attend workshops and conferences.

Anderson W. Townsend, Principal Sylvia White, School Improvement Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	32	31	91
Percent satisfied with learning environment	65.6%	51.6%	80.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	71.9%	56.7%	71.1%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	46.7%	83.9%	82.2%

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.